

Références

Aperçu de la qualité des soins pour la santé reproductive : définitions et mesures de la qualité

- Carla AbouZahr et al., « Quality health care for women : a global challenge », *Health Care for Women International* 17 (1996) : 449-67.
- Jane T. Bertrand, communication personnelle, mars 2002.
- Jane T. Bertrand et al., « Access, quality of care, and medical barriers in family planning programs », *International Family Planning Perspectives* 21, no. 2 (1995) : 64-9, 74.
- Lori DiPrete Brown et al., « Quality assurance of health care in developing countries », *Quality assurance methodology refinement series* (Bethesda, Maryland : Quality Assurance Project, 2000).
- Judith Bruce, « Fundamental elements of quality of care : a simple framework », *Studies in Family Planning* 21, no. 2 (1990) : 61-91.
- C.D. Calla, « Translating concepts of total quality management to improve quality of health care in family planning service delivery programs in developing countries » (Population Technical Assistance Project, 1991). Citée dans Adrienne J. Kols et Jill E. Sherman, « Family planning programs : improving quality », *Population Reports* 26, no. 3 (Baltimore : Johns Hopkins University School of Public Health, Population Information Program, 1998).
- Soledad Díaz, « Quality is client-oriented », *Planned Parenthood Challenges* 2 (1994) : 31-33.
- EngenderHealth, *COPE : Client-oriented provider-efficient services : a process and tools for quality improvement in family planning and other reproductive health services* (New York : EngenderHealth, 1995).
- Family Planning Service Expansion and Technical Support (SEATS II)/John Snow, Inc., *Mainstreaming quality improvement in family planning and reproductive health services delivery : context and case studies* (Washington, DC : Agence des États-Unis pour le développement international, 2000).
- Anita Hardon et al., *Monitoring family planning and reproductive rights* (New York : Zed Books, 2001).
- Carlos Huezo et Soledad Díaz, « Quality of care in family planning : clients' rights and providers' needs », *Advances in Contraception* 9, no. 2 (1993) : 129-39.
- Anrudh K. Jain, « Fertility reduction and the quality of family planning services », *Studies in Family Planning* 20, no. 1 (1989) : 1-16.
- International Planned Parenthood Federation, Western Hemisphere Region (IPPF/WHR), « Manual to evaluate quality of care from a gender perspective » (New York : IPPF/WHR, 2000).
- Bonnie J. Kay et al., « The Bangladesh Women's Health Coalition », *Quality/Calidad/Qualité*, no. 3 (New York : Population Council, 1991) : 1-24. Citée dans Adrienne J. Kols et Jill E. Sherman, « Family planning programs : improving quality », *Population Reports* 26, no. 3 (Baltimore : Johns Hopkins University School of Public Health, Population Information Program, 1998).
- Adrienne J. Kols et Jill E. Sherman, « Family planning programs : improving quality », *Population Reports* 26, no. 3 (Baltimore : Johns Hopkins University School of Public Health, Population Information Program, 1998).
- Michael A. Koenig et al., « The influence of quality of care upon contraceptive use in rural Bangladesh », *Studies in Family Planning* 28, no. 4 (1997) : 278-89.

- Robert E. Lande, « Performance improvement », *Population Reports*, Series J, no. 52 (Baltimore : Johns Hopkins University School of Public Health, Population Information Program, 2002).
- Management Sciences for Health, « Using CQI to strengthen family planning programs », *The Manager* 2, no. 1 (1993) : 1, 20.
- MEASURE *Evaluation*, « Quick investigation of quality (QIQ) : a user's guide for monitoring quality of care in family planning », *MEASURE Evaluation Manual Series*, no. 2 (Chapel Hill : Carolina Population Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 2001).
- MEASURE *Evaluation*, « Service provision assessment : abstract », consulté en ligne à www.cpc.unc.edu/measure/cmnht/t6_abstract.html, le 29 avril 2002.
- G. Mora et al., « Quality of care in women's reproductive health : a framework for Latin America and the Caribbean », rapport préliminaire (Washington, DC : Pan American Health Organization, 1993).
- Thomas A. Mroz et al., « Quality, accessibility, and contraceptive use in rural Tanzania », *Demography* 36, no. 1 (1999) : 23-40.
- I.H. Reerink et R. Sauerborn. « Quality of primary health care in developing countries », *International Journal for Quality in Health Care* 8, no. 2 (1996) : 131-39.
- Quality Assurance Project, « Overview of quality assurance in Latin America », consulté en ligne à www.qaproject.org/lac.html, le 29 avril 2002.
- James D. Shelton, « The provider perspective : human after all », *International Family Planning Perspectives* 27, no. 3 (2001) : 152-61.
- Ilene Speizer et Kenneth A. Bollen, « How well do perceptions of family planning service quality correspond to objective measures? Evidence from Tanzania », *Studies in Family Planning* 31, no. 2 (2000) : 163-77.
- James A. Wolff et al., éd., *The family planning manager : basic skills and tools for managing family planning programs* (Bloomfield, Connecticut : Management Sciences for Health, Kumarian Press, 1996).

Qualité adaptée au client : perspectives des clients et entraves aux soins

- Carla AbouZahr et al., « Quality health care for women : a global challenge », *Health Care for Women International* 17 (1996) : 449-67.
- Philip B. Adongo et al., « Qualitative research on perceptions toward health services and contraceptives », *Survey Research Indonesia* (Djakarta et Baltimore : Johns Hopkins University School of Public Health, Population Information Program, 1996).
- Philip B. Adongo et al., « Cultural factors constraining the introduction of family planning among the Kassena-Nankana of northern Ghana », *Social Science and Medicine* 45, no. 12 (1997) : 1789-804.
- Jane T. Bertrand et al., « Access, quality of care, and medical barriers in family planning programs », *International Family Planning Perspectives* 21, no. 2 (1995) : 64-69, 74.
- Anne Biddlecom et Bolaji M. Fapohunda, « Covert contraceptive use : prevalence, motivations, and consequences », *Studies in Family Planning* 29, no. 4 (1998) : 360-72.
- John Bongaarts et Judith Bruce, « The causes of unmet need for contraception and the social content of services », *Studies in Family Planning* 26, no. 2 (1995) : 57-75.
- Rodolfo A. Bulatao, *The value of family planning in developing countries* (Santa Monica, California : RAND, 1998.)

- Communication Impact!*, « Egypt's Gold Star quality program wins clients and communities », consulté en ligne à www.jhuccp.org/centerpubs/impact/number4/index.stm, le 4 jan. 2002.
- Marilou Costello et al., « A client-centered approach to family planning : the Davao project », *Studies in Family Planning* 32, no. 4 (2001) : 302-14.
- Niki Cotten et al. « Early discontinuation of contraceptive use in Niger and the Gambia », *International Family Planning Perspectives* 18, no. 4 (1992) : 145-49. Citée dans Michael A. Koenig et al., « The influence of quality of care upon contraceptive use in rural Bangladesh », *Studies in Family Planning* 28, no. 4 (1997) : 278-89.
- Thomas L. Delbanco et Jennifer Daley, « Through the patient's eyes : strategies toward more successful contraception », *Obstetrics and Gynecology* 88, no. 3 suppl. (1996) : S41-47.
- Family Planning Service Expansion and Technical Support (SEATS II)/John Snow, Inc., *Mainstreaming quality improvement in family planning and reproductive health services delivery : context and case studies* (Washington, DC : Agence des États-Unis pour le développement international, 2000).
- Slim Haddad et Pierre Fournier, « Quality, cost, and utilization of health services in developing countries : a longitudinal study in Zaire », *Social Science and Medicine* 40, no. 6 (1995) : 743-53.
- Lori Heise et al., « Sexual coercion and reproductive health : a focus on research », consulté en ligne à www.popcouncil.org/gfd/scoer/c1_b.html, le 22 déc. 2001.
- S.R. Hodgins, « Contraceptive discontinuation in Togo and women's experience with method use and services » (thèse de doctorat, Department of Health Behavior and Health Education, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Public Health, 2000).
- Lisa Howard-Grabman, « Building bridges for quality : *Puentes* project, Peru » (Baltimore : Johns Hopkins University School of Public Health, Population Information Program et Save the Children, 2000a).
- Lisa Howard-Grabman, « Bridging the gap between communities and service providers : developing accountability through community mobilization approaches », *International Development Studies Bulletin* 31, no. 11 (2000b) : 88-96.
- Lisa Howard-Grabman, communication personnelle, juin 2001.
- Irwanto et al., « In the shadow of men : reproductive decision-making and women's psychological well-being in Indonesia » (Research Triangle Park, Caroline du Nord : Women's Studies Project, Family Health International, 1997).
- Edward Kelley et Maina Boucar, « Helping district teams measure and act on client satisfaction data in Niger », *Operations Research Results* 1, no. 1 (Bethesda, Maryland : Agence des États-Unis pour le développement international, Quality Assurance Project, 2000).
- Diana T. Kenny, « Determinants of patient satisfaction with the medical consultation », *Psychology and Health* 10, no. 5 (1995) : 427-37. Citée dans Barbara Barnett et Jane Stein, *Women's voices, women's lives : the impact of family planning* (Research Triangle Park, Caroline du Nord : Women's Studies Project, Family Health International 1998).
- Young Mi Kim et al., « Self-assessment and peer review : improving Indonesian service providers' communication with clients », *International Family Planning Perspectives* 26, no. 1 (2000) : 4-12.
- Young Mi Kim et al., « Client communication behaviors with health care providers in Indonesia », *Patient Education and Counseling* 45, no. 1 (2001) : 59-68.
- Michael A. Koenig et al., « The influence of quality of care upon contraceptive use in rural Bangladesh », *Studies in Family Planning* 28, no. 4 (1997) : 278-89.

- Adrienne J. Kols et Jill E. Sherman, « Family planning programs : improving quality », *Population Reports* 26, no. 3 (Baltimore : Johns Hopkins University School of Public Health, Population Information Program, 1998).
- Flora L.K. Makundi, « Quality of care and accessibility of family planning services in Tanzania : providers', clients', and non-users' perspectives » (discours prononcé à l'occasion de la réunion annuelle de la Population Association of America, Washington, DC, 29-31 mars 2001).
- Maria Isabel Matamala, « Gender-related indicators for the evaluation of quality of care in reproductive health services », *Reproductive Health Matters* 6, no. 11 (1998) : 10-21.
- Salah Mawajdeh et al., « The assessment of quality of care in prenatal services in Irbid, North Jordan : women's perspectives », dans *The female client and the health-care provider*, éd. Janet Hatcher Roberts et Carol Vlassoff (Ottawa, Canada : Centre de recherches pour le développement international, 1995).
- Barbara Mensch et al., « Using situation analysis data to assess the function of family planning clinics in Nigeria, Tanzania, and Zimbabwe », *Studies in Family Planning* 25, no. 1 (1994) : 18-31.
- National Institute of Statistics, Directorate General for Health (Cambodge) et ORC Macro, Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey 2000 (Phnom Penh, Cambodge : National Institute of Statistics, Directorate General for Health et Calverton, Maryland : ORC Macro, 2001).
- Lewis Ndhlovu, *Quality of care of family planning service delivery of Kenya : clients' and providers' perspectives, final report* (Nairobi, Kenya : Division of Family Health, Ministry of Health et New York : Population Council, 1995).
- J. Ndulo et al., « Quality of care in sexually transmitted diseases in Zambia : patients' perspectives », *East African Medical Journal* 72, no. 10 (1995) : 641-44.
- Organisation mondiale de la Santé, « Improving access to quality care in family planning : medical eligibility criteria for initiating and continuing use of contraceptive methods » (Genève : OMS, 1996). Citée dans Adrienne J. Kols et Jill E. Sherman, « Family planning programs : improving quality », *Population Reports* 26, no. 3 (Baltimore : Johns Hopkins University School of Public Health, Population Information Program, 1998).
- Siti Pariani et al., « Does choice make a difference to contraceptive use ? Evidence from East Java », *Studies in Family Planning* 22, no. 6 (1991) : 384-90.
- Population Council, « Barriers to contraceptive use among Pakistani couples », *Population Briefs* 3, no. 3 (1997).
- Population Council, Africa Operations Research et Technical Assistance Project II (Africa OR/TA Project II), *Quality of care in family planning service delivery in Kenya : clients' and providers' perspectives* (Nairobi, Kenya : Population Council, Africa OR/TA Project II, 1995).
- John Ross et al., « Contraceptive method choice in developing countries », *International Family Planning Perspectives* 28, no. 1 (2002) : 32-40.
- Naomi Rutenberg et S.C. Watkins, « The buzz outside the clinics : conversations and contraception in Nyanza Province, Kenya », *Studies in Family Planning* 28, no. 4 (1997) : 290-307. Cité dans Ushma D. Upadhyay, « Informed choice in family planning : helping people decide », *Population Reports* 29, no. 1 (Baltimore : Johns Hopkins University School of Public Health, Population Information Program, 2001).
- Bharati Sadasivam, *Female staff, sensitive care : Indonesian clinics draw clients*, UNICEF Feature No. 00152.IDS (New York : UNICEF, 1995).
- Sidney Ruth Schuler et Zakir Hossain, « Family planning clinics through women's eyes voices : a case study from rural Bangladesh », *International Family Planning Perspectives* 24, no. 4 (1998) : 170-75.

- Sidney Ruth Schuler et al., « The persistence of a service delivery 'culture' : findings from a qualitative study in Bangladesh », *International Family Planning Perspectives* 27, no. 4 (2001) : 194-200.
- Sharon Stash, « Explanations of unmet need for contraception in Chitwan, Nepal », *Studies in Family Planning* 30, no. 4 (1999) : 267-87.
- Douglas Storey et al., « Impact of the integrated radio communication project in Nepal, 1994-1997 », *Journal of Health Communication* 4, no. 4 (1999) : 271-94.
- Anthony Tan et al., *Improvements in knowledge of Norplant implants acceptors : an intervention study in West Sumatra and West Java, Indonesia* (Indonésie : Padjajaran University, National Family Planning Coordinating Board – Asie et New York : Population Council, Near East OR/TA Project, 1995).
- John W. Townsend, « Effective family planning service components : global lessons from operations research », dans *Operations research : helping family planning programs work better*, éd. Myrna Seidman et Marjorie C. Horn (New York : Wiley-Liss, 1991).
- U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, *Reproductive Surveys 1991-1999*. Citée dans Ushma D. Upadhyay, « Informed choice in family planning : helping people decide », *Population Reports* 29, no. 1 (Baltimore : Johns Hopkins University School of Public Health, Population Information Program, 2001).
- Ushma D. Upadhyay, « Informed choice in family planning : helping people decide », *Population Reports* 29, no. 1 (Baltimore : Johns Hopkins University School of Public Health, Population Information Program, 2001).
- C. Velasco et al., « Calidad en los servicios de anticoncepción de El Alto, Bolivia », consulté à www.fhi.org/en/wsp/wssyn/syn4.html, le 10 déc. 2001.
- Hernán Vera, « The client's view of high-quality care in Santiago, Chile », *Studies in Family Planning* 24, no. 1 (1993) : 40-49.
- Andrea Whittaker, « Quality of care for women in northeast Thailand : intersections of class, gender, and ethnicity », *Health Care for Women International* 17, no. 5 (1996) : 435-47.
- Timothy W. Williams et al., « Measuring family planning service quality through client satisfaction exit interviews », *International Family Planning Perspectives* 26, no. 2 (2000) : 63-71.
- Glenn C. Wong et al., « Seeking women's voices : setting the context for women's health interventions in two rural counties in Yunnan, China », *Social Science and Medicine* 41, no. 8 (1995) : 1147-57.

Prestataires et qualité des soins

- A.M. Ahmed et al., « The national guidelines for supervision checklist : a tool for monitoring supervision activities at district level in Tanzania », *Annali di Igiene* 6 (1994) : 161-66.
- Beverly Ben Salem et Karen J. Beattie, « Facilitative supervision : a vital link in quality reproductive health service delivery », *AVSC Working Papers*, no. 10 (New York : EngenderHealth, 1996).
- Kim Best, « Medical barriers often unnecessary », *Network* 21, no. 3 (2002) : 4-15.
- Jagdish C. Bhatia, « A qualitative study of family planning (welfare) services at the primary health centre (PHC) level in the State of Karnataka » (Bangalore, Inde : Indian Institute of Management, 1995).
- P. Combarry et al., « Study of the effects of technical supervision training on CBD supervisors' performance in seven regions of Ghana », *Technical Reports*, no. 7 (Chapel Hill, Caroline du Nord : INTRAH, 1999).

- Consortium on Implementing Best Practices, « The IBP initiative : implementing best practices in reproductive health » (Genève : Organisation mondiale de la Santé, 2001).
- Family Planning Logistics Management/John Snow, Inc., *Programs that deliver : logistics' contributions to better health in developing countries* (Arlington, Virginie : Family Planning Logistics Management/John Snow, Inc., 2000).
- Family Planning Service Expansion and Technical Support (SEATS II)/John Snow, Inc., « Mainstreaming quality improvement in family planning and reproductive health services delivery : context and case studies » (Arlington, Virginie : Family Planning Logistics Management/John Snow, Inc., 2000).
- Elisabeth Faxelid et al., « Quality of STD care in an urban Zambian setting : the providers' perspective », *International Journal of Nursing Studies* 34, no. 5 (1997) : 353-57.
- Fonds des Nations Unies pour la population (FNUAP), « Quality of family planning services », *Evaluation Reports*, no. 8 (New York : FNUAP, 1994).
- Varun Gauri, « Are incentives everything ? Payment mechanisms for health care providers in developing countries », *World Bank Working Papers*, no. 2624 (Washington, DC : Banque mondiale, 2001).
- Nicole Haberland et al., « Unrealized quality and missed opportunities in family planning services », dans *Clinic-based family planning and reproductive health services in Africa : findings from situation analysis studies*, éd. Kate Miller et al. (New York : Population Council, 1998).
- M. Halawa et al., « Assessing the impact of a family planning nurse training program in Egypt », *Population Research and Policy Review* 14, no. 4 (1995) : 395-409.
- Karen Hardee et al., « Assessing family planning service-delivery practices : the case of private physicians in Jamaica », *Studies in Family Planning* 26, no. 6 (1995) : 338-49.
- Carlos Huezo, « Improving the quality of care by improving the motivation of service providers : a study based in Uganda and Bangladesh » (exposé présenté à MAQ Mini-University, Washington, DC, le 20 avril 2001).
- M.L. Jacobson et al., « Individual and group supervision of community health workers in Kenya : a comparison », *Journal of Health Administration Education* 5, no. 1 (1987) : 83-94.
- Victoria Jennings et al., « Analyzing the organizational context for a positive client-provider interaction : a leadership challenge for reproductive health », *MAQ Papers* 1, no. 1 (Washington, DC : Agence des États-Unis pour le développement international, 2000).
- M.E. Khan et al., *Quality of family planning services from provider's perspective : observations from a qualitative study in Sitapur District, Uttar Pradesh* (New Delhi, Inde : Population Council, 1995).
- Young Mi Kim et al., « Improving the quality of service delivery in Nigeria », *Studies in Family Planning* 23, no. 2 (1992) : 118-27.
- Young Mi Kim et al., « Self-assessment and peer review : improving Indonesian service providers' communication with clients », *International Family Planning Perspectives* 26, no. 1 (2000) : 4-12.
- Young Mi Kim et al., « The quality of supervisor-provider interactions in Zimbabwe », *Operations Research Results* 1, no. 5 (Bethesda, Maryland : Quality Assurance Project, 2000).
- E. Knebel et al., « The use of manual job aids by health care providers : what do we know ? » *QA Issue Papers*, no. 1 (Bethesda, Maryland : Quality Assurance Project, 2000).
- Robert E. Lande, « Performance improvement », *Population Reports*, Series J, no. 52 (Baltimore : Johns Hopkins University School of Public Health, Population Information Program, 2002).
- Federico R. León, *Peru PCI-QoC Project Bulletin*, no. 6 (Lima, Pérou : Population Council, 2001).

- Federico León et al., « Quality of care and user outcomes in the province of Santa, Peru : two diagnostic studies with focus on providers and users » (Lima, Pérou : Population Council, ministère de la Santé, district sanitaire de la région de Chavín, La Caleta Health Network, E. Guzmán Barrón Health Networks et Agence des États-Unis pour le développement international/Pérou, 1997).
- Federico León et al., « Developing algorithmic instruments to help providers and users to implement the new reproductive health care guidelines » (Mexico : Population Council, 1998).
- Kobchitt Limpaphayom et al., « The effectiveness of model-based training in accelerating IUD skill acquisition : a study of midwives in Thailand », *British Journal of Family Planning* 23 (1997) : 58-61.
- Pamela Lynam et al., « Using self-assessment to improve the quality of family planning clinic services », *Studies in Family Planning* 24, no. 4 (1993) : 252-60.
- Kate Miller et al., éd., *Clinic-based family planning and reproductive health services in Africa : findings from situation analysis studies* (New York : Population Council, 1998).
- Kate Miller et al., « How providers restrict access to family planning methods : results from five African countries », dans *Clinic-based family planning and reproductive health services in Africa : findings from situation analysis studies*, éd. Kate Miller et al. (New York : Population Council, 1998).
- Kirsten Moore et Judith F. Helzner, *What's sex got to do with it ? Challenges for incorporating sexuality into family planning programs* (New York : Population Council et International Planned Parenthood Federation, Western Hemisphere Region, 1996).
- Michelle Munro, « Health sector reform in Zambia : benefits and constraints for service delivery », dans *The implications of health sector reform for reproductive health and rights*, éd. Jodi Jacobson et Judith Bruce (Washington, DC : Center for Health and Gender Equity, 1998).
- Lewis Ndhlovu, *Quality of care in family planning service delivery in Kenya : clients' and providers' perspectives* (Nairobi, Kenya : Population Council Africa OR/TA Project, 1995).
- Lewis Ndhlovu, « Determinants of quality of family planning services : a case study of Kenya », dans *Client-based family planning services in Africa : findings from situation analysis studies*, éd. Kate Miller et al. (New York : Population Council, 1998) : 107-24.
- Katie Paine et al., *The impact of family planning services on the safety and efficacy of contraceptive use : a literature review for the WHO* (Londres : Health Promotion Research Unit, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, 1998).
- Population Council, « Quality of family planning services », *Programme Briefs* (Nairobi, Kenya : Africa OR/TA Project, 1998).
- Sidney Ruth Schuler et Zakir Hossain, « Family planning clinics through women's eyes voices : a case study from rural Bangladesh », *International Family Planning Perspectives* 24, no. 4 (1998) : 170-75, 205.
- James D. Shelton, « The provider perspective : human after all », *International Family Planning Perspectives* 27, no. 3 (2001) : 152-53, 161.
- James D. Shelton et al., « Medical barriers to access to family planning », *Lancet* 340, no. 8831 (1992) : 1334-35.
- Paula Tavrow et al., « Quality of care : an assessment of family planning providers' attitudes and client-provider interactions in Malawi » (Blantyre, Malawi : University of Malawi's Centre for Social Research, 1995).
- Joseph Valadez et al., « Supervision of primary health care in Costa Rica : time well spent ? » *Health Policy and Planning* 5, no. 2 (1990) : 118-25.

Joseph Valadez et al., « Assessing family planning service-delivery skills in Kenya », *Studies in Family Planning* 28, no. 2 (1997) : 143-50.

Ricardo Vernon et al., « A test of alternative supervision strategies for family planning services in Guatemala », *Studies in Family Planning* 25, no. 4 (1994) : 232-38.

Frontières en Santé de la Reproduction (FRONTIÈRES) est un programme de recherche mondial géré par Population Council. Le programme a pour objectif d'améliorer les services de planification familiale et de santé reproductive dans les pays en développement. FRONTIÈRES concentre ses efforts sur la recherche opérationnelle (RO) qui met en œuvre des techniques de recherche systémique pour améliorer la fourniture de services et influencer les politiques y afférentes. FRONTIÈRES mène près de 100 projets de recherche en Afrique, en Asie, en Europe de l'Est et en Amérique latine.

Le Population Council est une organisation non gouvernementale à but non lucratif créée en 1952 ; il cherche à améliorer le bien-être et la santé de la reproduction des générations actuelles et futures à travers le monde et faciliter l'établissement d'un équilibre juste et durable entre les populations et les ressources.

MEASURE *Communication* propose de la documentation générale ou sur un pays spécifique (formats imprimé, vidéo et électronique). Dans les pays en développement, elle offre une assistance et une formation techniques aux institutions qui cherchent à améliorer la communication des résultats de la recherche aux décideurs et au public non spécialisé, elle encourage les réseaux des médias et elle favorise l'utilisation des nouvelles technologies et de nouveaux formats pour des communications efficaces.

Le Population Reference Bureau est le leader en matière d'informations objectives et opportunes sur les tendances démographiques américaines et internationales et leurs implications.

Ce document a été financé par l'Agence des États-Unis pour le développement international (USAID) par le biais des projets FRONTIÈRES et MEASURE *Communication* dans le cadre des accords de coopération n° HRN-A-00-98-00012-00 et HRN-A-00-98-000001-00, respectivement.



POPULATION REFERENCE BUREAU
MEASURE *Communication*
1875 Connecticut Ave., NW, Suite 520, Washington, DC 20009 É.-U.
Tél. : 202-483-1100
Fax : 202-328-3937
E-mail : popref@prb.org ou measure@prb.org
Site Web : www.prb.org ou www.measurecommunication.org



POPULATION COUNCIL
4301 Connecticut Ave., NW, Suite 280, Washington, DC 20008 É.-U.
Tél. : 202-237-9400
Fax : 202-237-8410
E-mail : frontiers@pcdc.org
Site Web : www.popcouncil.org/frontiers/frontiers.html